Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

- (Previously Presented) A method of determining whether a printed-image-underexamination (PIUE) is a copy of an original printed image, the method comprising:
- (a) scanning the PIUE to generate scanned image data, the scanned image data comprising pixel data, the pixel data comprising gray scale values and representing the PIUE as a set of scanning pixels:
- (b) forming a plurality of data blocks from the scanned image data, each data block consisting of pixel data which corresponds to a respective region of the PIUE;
- (c) transforming the pixel data in at least some of the data blocks to obtain transform domain data by applying at least one of a Fourier transform, a fast Fourier transform, a discrete cosine transform (DCT) and a wavelet transform to the pixel data in the at least some of the data blocks to obtain the transform domain data;
- (d) applying a watermark detecting operation to the transform domain data for respective ones of the data blocks to generate recovered watermark data; and
- (e) determining a correlation between the recovered watermark data for at least some of the data blocks and average brightness levels for said data blocks.

Amdt. Dated January 18, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated November 21, 2007

2. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

(f) determining that the PIUE is a copy of the original printed image if a strength

of a brightness level of the recovered watermark data is negatively correlated with the

brightness levels for said data blocks.

(Cancelled)

4. (Original) The method according to claim 1, wherein the watermark

detecting operation includes multiplying the transform domain data with a detecting

function.

5. (Original) The method according to claim 4, wherein the detecting function is

eikr, where k and r are phase space indices applicable to the transform domain data.

6. (Original) The method according to claim 4, wherein the detecting operation

further includes applying an envelope function to the transform domain data that has

been multiplied by the detecting function.

7. (Original) The method according to claim 6, wherein the detecting operation

further includes applying an inverse transform to the transform domain data that has

been multiplied by the detecting function and to which the envelope function has been

applied.

(10079083.1) Page 3 of 16

Amdt. Dated January 18, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated November 21, 2007

8. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1, wherein the PIUE is part

of a postal indicium.

9. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 1, wherein at least one of

the regions of the PIUE overlap with one or more other regions of the PIUE to which

the data blocks correspond are overlapping with each other.

10. (Previously Presented) A method of determining whether a printed-image-

under-examination (PIUE) is a copy of an original printed image, the original printed

image including a watermark applied to the image using a plurality of wave vectors,

the method comprising:

(a) scanning the PIUE to generate scanned image data, the scanned image

data comprising pixel data, the pixel data comprising gray scale values and

representing the PIUE as a set of scanning pixels;

(b) forming a plurality of data blocks from the scanned image data, each data

block consisting of pixel data which corresponds to a respective region of the PIUE;

(c) transforming the pixel data in at least some of the data blocks to obtain

transform domain data by applying at least one of a Fourier transform, a fast Fourier

transform, a discrete cosine transform (DCT) and a wavelet transform to the pixel data

in the at least some of the data blocks to obtain the transform domain data;

(10079083.1)Page 4 of 16

Amdt. Dated January 18, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated November 21, 2007

(d) applying a watermark detecting operation to the transform domain data for respective ones of the data blocks to generate recovered watermark data; and

(e) determining at least one of (i) a correlation between the recovered

watermark data for at least some of the data blocks and average brightness levels for

said data blocks, and (ii) a correlation between the recovered watermark data and the

wave vectors.

11. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 10, further

comprising:

(f) determining that the PIUE is a copy of the original printed image if a signal

level of the recovered watermark data decreases with the brightness levels for said

data blocks

12. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 10, further

comprising:

(f) determining that the PIUE is a copy of the original printed image if a signal

level of the recovered watermark data increases with wavelengths of the wave vectors.

13. (Cancelled)

Amdt. Dated January 18, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated November 21, 2007

14. (Original) The method according to claim 10, wherein the watermark detecting operation includes multiplying the transform domain data with a detecting function.

15. (Original) The method according to claim 14, wherein the detecting function is e^{ikr}, where k and r are phase space indices applicable to the transform domain data.

16. (Original) The method according to claim 14, wherein the detecting operation further includes applying an envelope function to the transform domain data that has been multiplied by the detecting function.

17. (Original) The method according to claim 16, wherein the detecting operation further includes applying an inverse transform to the transform domain data that has been multiplied by the detecting function and to which the envelope function has been applied.

 (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 10, wherein the PIUE is part of a postal indicium.

19. (Previously Presented) The method according to claim 10, wherein at least one of the regions of the PIUE overlap with one or more other regions of the PIUE to which the data blocks correspond are overlapping with each other.

(Cancelled)			
(Cancelled)			
	(Cancelled) (Cancelled) (Cancelled) (Cancelled)	(Cancelled) (Cancelled)	(Cancelled) (Cancelled) (Cancelled)

Amdt. Dated January 18, 2008 Reply to Office Action dated November 21, 2007

Appln. No.: 10/720,292

25. (Cancelled)